



# Leadership Relationships in Context: The Role of Leader–Member Exchange Theory and Organisational Culture in Shaping Employee Outcomes

Michael Mncedisi Willie <sup>1\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Council for Medical Schemes, Policy Research and Monitoring, Pretoria, South Africa,  
email: [m.willie@medicalschemes.co.za](mailto:m.willie@medicalschemes.co.za)

**Abstract:** Leadership effectiveness and employee outcomes are increasingly understood as relational and context-dependent phenomena. Leader–Member Exchange (LMX) theory highlights the importance of dyadic leader–follower relationships, while organisational culture shapes the norms, values, and practices within which these relationships unfold. This study examines the interplay between LMX, organisational culture, communication practices, and talent management, with a particular focus on how these dynamics shape employee engagement, performance, well-being, and retention. Adopting a qualitative, constructivist literature study, the research synthesises empirical studies, meta-analyses, and conceptual frameworks spanning leadership, organisational behaviour, and talent management, and a thematic analysis was conducted to identify recurring relational patterns, contextual moderators, and mechanisms linking LMX quality to employee outcomes. The review demonstrates that high-quality LMX relationships, characterised by trust, reciprocity, and open communication, are strongly associated with positive employee outcomes, particularly when embedded in inclusive and adaptive organisational cultures, whereas low-quality exchanges within misaligned or hierarchical cultures contribute to disengagement, relative deprivation, and turnover intentions. Communication practices and talent management systems emerge as critical mediating mechanisms, with digital and remote work contexts amplifying both relational opportunities and risks. The findings underscore the need for leadership approaches that integrate relational awareness with cultural alignment and systemic talent practices, advancing a more contextualised understanding of LMX and highlighting inclusive leadership, relational mindfulness, and culturally responsive communication as essential for organisational sustainability and workforce well-being.

**Keywords:** Leader–Member Exchange, organisational culture, employee engagement, communication, talent management

---

\*Corresponding author: [m.willie@medicalschemes.co.za](mailto:m.willie@medicalschemes.co.za)



© 2026 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Employee outcomes are deeply intertwined with the relational and cultural environments of organisations. LMX theory emphasises that high-quality exchanges foster trust, psychological empowerment, and motivation, whereas low-quality exchanges can generate relative deprivation, disengagement, and turnover intentions (Graen & Uhl-Bien, 1995; Gerstner & Day, 1997; Bolino & Turnley, 2009). Leader–member exchanges, embedded within broader organisational and cultural contexts, shape employees' perceptions of fairness, recognition, and support, influencing engagement, burnout, job satisfaction (Jo & Shin, 2025), and creativity, with organisational fairness, identity, empowerment, and corporate social responsibility serving as critical mediating factors (Tang, Mai, & Na, 2025).

Relational quality encompassing trust, reciprocity, and communication satisfaction exerts a direct influence on employees' psychological states and work behaviours, with organisational trust significantly enhancing the quality of work life through effective managerial practices, while personality traits exert a comparatively weaker effect (Omilion-Hodges & Ptacek, 2021; Van der Berg & Martins, 2013). Furthermore, high-quality workplace relational systems, coupled with employees' mindfulness, foster work engagement and resilience, mediated by psychological capital, highlighting the role of relational and personal resources in sustaining performance during organisational crises (Fiaz & Fahim, 2023).

Scholars argue that leaders who cultivate inclusive, transparent, and responsive exchanges facilitate not only individual performance but also collective team outcomes (Aggarwal et al., 2020; Dulebohn et al., 2012). Organisational culture profoundly shapes employee experiences across hierarchical levels and contexts, with supportive, participative, and innovative cultures enhancing organisational citizenship behaviour, proactive engagement, job satisfaction, motivation, and commitment, while hierarchical, market-oriented, or toxic cultures suppress initiative, reduce engagement, increase stress, and elevate turnover intentions (Fernandes, Pereira, & Wiedenhöft, 2023; Belias & Koustelios, 2014).

Organisational culture provides the contextual lens through which LMX quality manifests. Schein (2010) asserts that culture shapes expectations for communication, conflict resolution, and leadership legitimacy. Alignment between leader behaviour and organisational norms enhances work engagement, fosters commitment, and reduces turnover (Alagaraja & Shuck, 2015). Cultural misalignment or toxic leadership can undermine trust, constrain skill utilisation, and trigger disengagement, whereas leadership behaviours aligned with organisational values, supported by a constructive culture, enhance motivation, performance, and job satisfaction (Adekoya et al., 2019). Effective talent management practices such as structured career development, mentoring, and recognition programs promote retention, while the absence of clear succession planning increases turnover intentions, and structured succession initiatives significantly mediate the relationship between self-leadership and turnover intention (Aibieyi & Oghoator, 2015; Maroga, Schultz, & Smit, 2024).

Despite extensive research, significant gaps persist in integrating LMX dynamics with talent management and organisational culture, particularly in the context of remote work, digital communication, and evolving workforce expectations that challenge traditional LMX frameworks. Evidence indicates that experiential off-site programs enhance LMX quality by fostering trust, communication, and relational depth (Zhu & Mahadi, 2025), whereas continuous digital connectivity can exacerbate stress, burnout, and

mental fatigue, especially among knowledge workers and caregiving employees, underscoring the need for systemic interventions that balance productivity with well-being (Mdhuli, 2025).

## **Literature Review**

### **LMX Theory and Its Foundations**

LMX theory, developed from the vertical dyad linkage model (Dansereau et al., 1975), conceptualises leadership as differentiated and relationship-based. High-quality LMX relationships are characterised by mutual trust, respect, and obligation, whereas low-quality relationships often produce transactional, compliance-driven exchanges (Graen & Uhl-Bien, 1995; Aggarwal et al., 2020). Meta-analytic evidence confirms that high-quality LMX is positively associated with job performance, work engagement, and organisational citizenship behaviour (Gerstner & Day, 1997; Dulebohn et al., 2012; Ariani & Feriyanto, 2024). The impact of LMX extends beyond dyadic relationships to shape team cohesion, innovation, and collective accountability, with high LMX differentiation potentially undermining creativity through perceived inequities, while effectively managed differentiation via inclusive leadership and equitable resource allocation can enhance team creative outcomes (Du et al., 2022).

### **Communication as a Relational Mechanism**

Communication emerges as a central mechanism in shaping LMX quality. Frequency, transparency, and cooperative communication mediate the relationship between leader behaviours and employee perceptions of inclusion and insider status (Abu Baka & Sheer, 2013; Omilion-Hodges & Ptacek, 2021). Technologically mediated communication in remote contexts further complicates relational dynamics, with digital tools enhancing or constraining feedback, trust, and engagement depending on their use (Borhani et al., 2024; Begemann et al., 2024). Morrison (2014) highlights that employees' willingness to speak up or remain silent is shaped by leadership behaviours, organisational culture, and perceptions of psychological safety, and Huang, Wilkinson, and Barry (2024) demonstrate that perceptions of contextual voice efficacy significantly determine the propensity to exercise voice, with low perceived efficacy increasing silence.

### **Talent Management and Retention**

Talent management and retention strategies are closely intertwined with LMX dynamics: high-quality exchanges facilitate mentoring, skill development, and career progression, whereas low-quality exchanges often result in turnover and underutilisation of employees' capabilities (Al Dalahmeh, 2020; Mey et al., 2021; Nzonzo & du Plessis, 2020). Integrating wellness interventions, inclusive leadership, and structured succession planning strengthens organisational resilience and employee commitment (Zinyama & Tsara, 2022; Hafnidah et al., 2025). Inclusive leadership additionally promotes employee well-being by fostering a thriving work environment, with individual traits such as growth need strength amplifying the positive impact of leadership practices (Liu et al., 2025).

### **Organisational Culture as Context**

Organisational culture underpins the effectiveness of both LMX and talent management interventions. Strong, adaptive cultures foster psychological safety, facilitate constructive conflict resolution, and align organisational goals with employee motivations (Schein, 2010). Research demonstrates that misalignment between leadership practices and cultural norms can undermine engagement and exacerbate family–work conflicts, particularly in remote or hybrid work contexts

(Toscano et al., 2022; Herrera, Duncan, & Ree, 2013). The literature emphasises the need for multi-level analyses that integrate dyadic, team, and organisational perspectives.

### **Research Methodology**

This literature study was guided by a qualitative, constructivist approach, synthesising empirical findings, meta-analyses, and theoretical contributions to LMX and organisational culture research. Constructivist Grounded Theory (CGT) enhances such research by centring participants' perspectives, adopting an open and exploratory analytic approach, and linking focused codes through theoretical coding to produce contextually grounded insights (Lindqvist & Forsberg, 2022). Its methodological flexibility allows the integration of interviews and existing literature to address undertheorised challenges, offering analytical rigour while balancing data-driven insights with abstraction (Bobbink, Larkin, & Probst, 2024). The selection of sources prioritised peer-reviewed articles, longitudinal studies, and systematic reviews, with inclusion criteria emphasising studies published in the past three decades to capture contemporary challenges such as remote work and digital communication.

Thematic analysis was applied to identify and interpret patterns in trust, communication satisfaction, engagement, and turnover, with attention to meaning-based, interpretative narratives and researcher reflexivity to ensure methodological rigour (Braun & Clarke, 2006, 2022). Recurring patterns were systematically coded and integrated with insights from talent management, leadership theory, and organisational justice. Meta-analytic evidence, case studies, and cross-referencing strengthened validity and mitigated bias, although the exclusion of grey literature and potential publication bias remain limitations.

### **Conceptual Framework: The Relational-Cultural Model of Employee Outcomes**

This section introduces the Relational-Cultural Model: Employee Outcomes Framework, developed through an integrative synthesis of the literature review and thematic analysis. Central to the model is LMX, which captures the quality of dyadic relationships between leaders and subordinates, highlighting dimensions of trust, reciprocity, and effective communication. Organisational culture provides the contextual foundation for these exchanges, shaping shared norms, values, and expectations that influence both the enactment and perception of leader–follower interactions.

Within this context, communication practices and talent management strategies operate as critical mediating mechanisms, translating relational and cultural influences into tangible organisational processes. Communication practices that emphasise transparency, frequency, and inclusivity facilitate alignment between leaders and employees, reinforcing perceptions of fairness, support, and belonging. Talent management interventions, including structured mentorship, career development, and succession planning, amplify the positive effects of high-quality LMX and supportive culture, promoting employee engagement, performance, well-being, and retention.

Figure 1 depicts a multi-level framework in which leadership behaviours and cultural alignment converge through deliberate organisational practices to shape employee experiences and outcomes,

underscoring the need for integrative strategies that simultaneously address relational, cultural, and systemic dimensions.



Figure 1: Relational-Cultural Model: Employee Outcomes Framework

## Discussion and Implications

This study situates LMX within the broader relational and cultural contexts of contemporary organisations, examining how dyadic leadership interactions, organisational culture, communication practices, and talent management collectively shape employee engagement, performance, well-being, and retention. High-quality LMX relationships, characterised by trust, reciprocity, and open communication, consistently correlate with positive outcomes, particularly when supported by inclusive and adaptive organisational cultures, corroborating research indicating that relational quality directly influences psychological states and work behaviours while personality traits exert a weaker effect (Omilion-Hodges & Ptacek, 2021; Van der Berg & Martins, 2013).

Organisational culture acts both as a lens and a conduit for LMX effectiveness. Supportive, participative, and innovative cultures enhance organisational citizenship behaviour, proactive engagement, and job satisfaction, whereas rigid, hierarchical, or toxic cultures suppress initiative, elevate stress, and increase turnover intentions (Fernandes, Pereira, & Wiedenhöft, 2023; Belias & Koustelios, 2014). Leadership behaviours aligned with cultural norms strengthen motivation, performance, and retention, demonstrating that relational dynamics and cultural alignment are mutually reinforcing (Adekoya et al., 2019; Alagaraja & Shuck, 2015).

Communication practices and talent management emerge as key mechanisms through which LMX and organisational culture translate into tangible outcomes. Transparent, frequent, and inclusive communication fosters perceptions of fairness, support, and belonging, particularly in digitally mediated or remote contexts where relational opportunities and risks are amplified (Begemann et al., 2024; Mdhului, 2025). Structured talent management interventions strengthen retention, engagement, and resilience,

whereas gaps exacerbate turnover and disengagement (Aibieyi & Oghoator, 2015; Hafnidah et al., 2025; Liu et al., 2025), indicating that high-quality LMX alone is insufficient without integration into coherent organisational systems.

Critically, the analysis reveals enduring gaps in LMX research. High LMX differentiation within teams can undermine creativity through perceived inequities, yet when managed effectively can leverage diverse perspectives to enhance innovation (Du et al., 2022). Experiential off-site programmes and inclusive leadership foster relational depth, engagement, and collaboration (Zhu & Mahadi, 2025), while continuous digital connectivity can increase stress and burnout (Mdhluli, 2025). These findings emphasise the need for research integrating LMX, cultural alignment, and talent management within multi-level, context-sensitive frameworks. For practitioners, the findings emphasise relational mindfulness, inclusive leadership, and systemic cultural alignment as indispensable strategies for enhancing employee outcomes and organisational resilience.

## Conclusion

Effective leadership extends beyond task completion to the cultivation of meaningful relational exchanges that align with organisational culture. LMX theory provides a nuanced lens to examine how dyadic relationships influence engagement, performance, and retention, while communication, culture, and talent management strategies shape the quality of these exchanges. The analysis reveals that high-quality LMX, aligned with inclusive culture and supportive systems, produces significant organisational benefits, whereas low-quality relationships amplify disengagement, inequity, and turnover.

Organisational leaders must integrate evidence-based strategies to foster trust, transparency, and developmental support, recognising the interplay of individual, team, and cultural dynamics. Attention to relational subtleties, particularly in diverse and remote work environments, is crucial for sustaining performance and promoting equity. Future studies should continue to explore the intersections of LMX, organisational culture, and emerging workforce practices, offering actionable insights for leadership development, talent retention, and organisational sustainability.

## Study Limitations

As a qualitative, constructivist literature study, this research is subject to several limitations. Much of the underlying evidence derives from highly developed economies, which may limit direct applicability to different socioeconomic and cultural contexts, including the South African setting. The synthesis also relies on published, peer-reviewed sources and excludes grey literature, introducing potential publication bias. Finally, the absence of primary data means the proposed Relational-Cultural Model remains a conceptual contribution requiring empirical validation. Future studies should adopt multi-level, cross-cultural, and longitudinal designs to test the framework and examine the impact of digital transformation on relational and intergenerational dynamics.

## Conflict of Interests

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## Data Availability and Use of AI Tools

This study is based entirely on a qualitative, constructivist literature review; all data analysed were derived from publicly accessible, peer-reviewed sources, and no primary data were collected. QuillBot and Grammarly were used solely for language editing and readability; all conceptualisation, analysis, interpretation, and synthesis were performed independently by the author.

## References

- Abu Baka, H., & Sheer, V. C. (2013). The mediating role of perceived cooperative communication in the relationship between interpersonal exchange relationships and perceived group cohesion. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 27, 443–465.
- Adekoya, O., Jimoh, I., Olajide, M., & Olawoyin, F. (2019). The significance of organisational culture and leadership behaviour on organisational success. *International Journal of Science and Management Studies*, 2(5), 105–112. <https://doi.org/10.51386/25815946/ijsms-v2i5p105>
- Aggarwal, A., Chand, P. K., Jhamb, D., & Mittal, A. (2020). Leader–Member Exchange, work engagement, and psychological withdrawal behavior: The mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00423>
- Aibieyi, S., & Oghoator, H. (2015). Talent management and employees retention in Nigerian universities. *NG-Journal of Social Development*, 5(1), 23–35.
- Al Dalahmeh, M. (2020). Talent management: A systematic review. *Oradea Journal of Business and Economics*, 5(Special), 115–123. <https://doi.org/10.47535/1991ojbe102>
- Alagaraja, M., & Shuck, B. (2015). Exploring organisational alignment–employee engagement linkages and impact on individual performance: A conceptual model. *Human Resource Development Review*, 14(1), 45–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484314549455>
- Ariani, D. W., & Feriyanto, N. (2024). How leader–member exchange affects work outcomes in small- and medium enterprises? *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22, a2397. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v22i0.2397>
- Begemann, V., Handke, L., & Lehmann-Willenbrock, N. (2024). Enabling and constraining factors of remote informal communication: A socio-technical systems perspective. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 29(5), zmae008. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmae008>
- Belias, D., & Koustelios, A. (2014). Organisational culture and job satisfaction: A review. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 4(2), 132–149.
- Bobbink, P., Larkin, P., & Probst, S. (2024). Application and challenges of using a Constructivist Grounded Theory methodology to address an undertheorised clinical challenge. *International Journal of Nursing Studies Advances*, 6, 100199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnsa.2024.100199>
- Bolino, M. C., & Turnley, W. H. (2009). Relative deprivation among employees in lower-quality leader–member exchange relationships. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20(3), 276–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2009.03.001>
- Borhani, T., Eslamdoust, S., & Lee, J. H. (2024). Enhancing team performance in the digital age. *International Journal of Business & Management Studies*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.56734/ijbms.v5n4a5>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). Toward good practice in thematic analysis. *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 24(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26895269.2022.2129597>

Dansereau, F. J., Graen, G., & Haga, W. J. (1975). A vertical dyad linkage approach to leadership within formal organisations. *Organisational Behavior and Human Performance*, 13, 46–78. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(75\)90005-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(75)90005-7)

Du, J., Lin, X., Cai, Y., & Amankwah-Amoah, J. (2022). When teamwork works: Examining the relationship between leader–member exchange differentiation and team creativity. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 646514. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.646514>

Dulebohn, J. H., Bommer, W. H., Liden, R. C., Brouer, R. L., & Ferris, G. R. (2012). A meta-analysis of the antecedents and consequences of leader–member exchange. *Journal of Management*, 38, 1715–1759.

Fernandes, P., Pereira, R., & Wiedenhöft, G. (2023). Organisational culture and the individuals' discretionary behaviors at work. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 8, 1190488. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.1190488>

Fiaz, S., & Fahim, S. M. (2023). The influence of high-quality workplace relational systems and mindfulness on employee work engagement at the time of crises. *Heliyon*, 9(4), e15523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15523>

Gerstner, C. R., & Day, D. V. (1997). Meta-analytic review of leader–member exchange theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(6), 827–844. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.82.6.827>

Graen, G. B., & Uhl-Bien, M. (1995). Relationship-based approach to leadership: Development of LMX theory over 25 years. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 6(2), 219–247. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843\(95\)90036-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843(95)90036-5)

Hafnidah, N., Gustomo, A., Prasetyo, E. A., & Abdurrahman, A. (2025). Leadership succession and its impact on organisational resilience. *Project Leadership and Society*, 6, 100192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plas.2025.100192>

Herrera, R., Duncan, P. A., & Ree, M. J. (2013). Aligning organisational culture with leader–member exchange. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 32(5), 50–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.21503>

Huang, X., Wilkinson, A., & Barry, M. (2024). The role of contextual voice efficacy on employee voice and silence. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 34(4), 960–974. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12404>

Jo, H., & Shin, D. (2025). The impact of recognition, fairness, and leadership on employee outcomes. *PLOS ONE*, 20(1), e0312951. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0312951>

Lindqvist, H., & Forsberg, C. (2022). Constructivist grounded theory and educational research. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 46(2), 200–210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2022.2095998>

Liu, Y., Fang, Y., & He, G. (2025). The effect of inclusive leadership on employee well-being. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 39991. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-23703-7>

Maroga, R. S., Schultz, C. M., & Smit, P. K. (2024). Succession planning mediates self-leadership and turnover intention in a state-owned enterprise. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22, a2304. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v22i0.2304>

Mey, M., Poisat, P., & Stindt, C. (2021). The influence of leadership behaviours on talent retention. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v19i0.1504>

Mdhuli, N. I. (2025). Perils of perpetual connectivity: Navigating the ‘always-on’ culture in the modern workplace. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23, a3019. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v23i0.3019>

Morrison, E. W. (2014). Employee voice and silence. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1(1), 173–197. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091328>

Nzonzo, J., & du Plessis, Y. (2020). Critical success factors for integrating talent management strategies with wellness interventions. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v18i0.1353>

Omilion-Hodges, L. M., & Ptacek, J. K. (2021). Leader–member exchange and organisational communication. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73644-6>

Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organisational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Tang, X., Mai, S., & Na, M. (2025). The influence of organisational fairness, identity and empowerment on employee creativity. *SAGE Open*, 15, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251328475>

Toscano, F., Zappalà, S., & Galanti, T. (2022). Is a good boss always a plus? LMX, family–work conflict, and remote working satisfaction during COVID-19. *Social Sciences*, 11(6), 248. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci11060248>

Van der Berg, Y., & Martins, N. (2013). The relationship between organisational trust and quality of work life. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11(1), a392. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v11i1.392>

Zhu, Z., & Mahadi, N. (2025). Redefining leadership development: LMX and the role of experiential off-sites. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i3/26254>

Zinyama, T., & Tsara, E. (2022). Talent identification, nurturing and mentorship. In *Transformational human resources management in Zimbabwe* (pp. 39–59). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17709-0\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17709-0_3)