



EXPLORING THE LANDSCAPE OF EXPERT SYSTEMS: A REVIEW

Dusanka Barisic^{1*}

¹ DTS, Nova Pazova, Serbia, duda.barisic007@gmail.com

Abstract: Expert systems are computer programs designed to mimic the decision-making of human experts. This paper explores the fundamental components of ES, including knowledge acquisition, representation, and reasoning. Various techniques for acquiring knowledge, such as interviews, observation, and document analysis, are discussed, along with prominent knowledge representation schemes like production rules, semantic networks, frames, and ontologies. The reasoning process, including inference methods and explanation facilities, is also examined. The paper further analyses the challenges and limitations of ES, such as the difficulty in capturing common sense reasoning and the complexity of knowledge base maintenance. Finally, it explores future research directions, including the integration of emerging technologies like big data and cloud computing, the development of more transparent and explainable ES, and addressing ethical considerations surrounding bias and accountability. This comprehensive overview provides a foundational understanding of expert systems, their capabilities, limitations, and potential future advancements.

Keywords: Expert Systems, Knowledge Representation, Reasoning, Decision Support, Artificial Intelligence

Introduction

Expert systems are computer programs designed to emulate the decision-making and problem-solving capabilities of human experts in a particular domain (Hasan & Pawan, 2021). As one of the most significant areas of artificial intelligence (AI), ES have been developed to provide support to users in specific domains where expertise is critical (Giarratano & Riley, 2022).

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the fundamental concepts, architecture, components, and practical applications of expert systems, along with a discussion of their advantages, challenges, and future developments. The goal of this paper is to explore the landscape of expert systems, examining their principles and applications in depth. The paper is structured as follows: First, we define the concept and characteristics of expert systems, outlining their core components and properties. Second, we delve into the architecture of expert systems, discussing the roles of knowledge bases, reasoning engines, and user interfaces. Third, we justify the development of expert systems by highlighting their significance and benefits across various domains. Finally, we conclude by summarizing key insights and suggesting directions for future research.

* Corresponding author: duda.barisic007@gmail.com



© 2025 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



The methodology employed in this review includes a comprehensive analysis of existing literature on expert systems, including books, journal articles, and conference papers. Key works in the field, such as those by Buchanan and Shortliffe (1984), Jackson (1998), and Giarratano and Riley (2022), provide foundational insights into the development and application of expert systems. Additionally, the review incorporates case studies and practical examples to illustrate the real-world impact of expert systems.

Theoretical Foundations of Expert Systems

Expert systems are computer programs designed to emulate the decision-making abilities of human experts in specific domains. They achieve this by capturing and applying the knowledge and reasoning processes of experts through various techniques, such as rule-based systems, semantic networks, and frames (Jackson, 1998). The primary purpose of expert systems is to provide expert-level advice, problem-solving capabilities, and decision support in areas where human expertise may be scarce, expensive, or unavailable. These systems are particularly valuable in fields requiring rapid and accurate responses, complex problem-solving, or consistent application of specialized knowledge (Durkin, 1994). By automating expert knowledge, expert systems can improve efficiency, reduce errors, and enhance decision-making across diverse applications (Buchanan & Shortliffe, 1984).

The development and design of expert systems are grounded in several key theoretical disciplines, including cognitive science, knowledge representation, and automated reasoning. Comprehending these foundational principles is crucial for understanding how expert systems emulate human expertise (Jackson, 1998).

Cognitive Science

Drawing extensively from cognitive science, expert systems are informed by how human experts acquire, represent, and utilize knowledge (Newell & Simon, 1972). Concepts such as problem-solving strategies, heuristics, and mental models from the field of cognitive science guide the design of these systems. By studying the reasoning processes employed by human experts in tackling complex problems, developers can encode similar approaches into the inference engine of an expert system (Feigenbaum & McCorduck, 1983). For instance, understanding the diagnostic process of a physician in identifying diseases based on symptoms can inform the development of a medical diagnosis expert system (Buchanan & Shortliffe, 1984). Additionally, addressing cognitive biases identified through cognitive science research can help minimize errors in the reasoning capabilities of expert systems.

Knowledge Representation

A fundamental aspect of expert systems is their capacity to represent domain-specific knowledge. Knowledge representation schemes offer formal frameworks for encoding knowledge in a computer-processable manner (Durkin, 1994).

Expert systems commonly utilize various knowledge representation techniques:



Production Rules: These are conditional statements that express relationships between conditions and actions. For example, "IF the patient exhibits a fever AND a cough, THEN the patient may have the flu"(Giarratano & Riley, 2005).

Semantic Networks are a way to represent knowledge as a graph of interconnected concepts and relationships (Jackson, 1998).

Frames are data structures that represent stereotypical situations or objects, with slots for specific attributes (Minsky, 1975).

Ontologies provide a formal representation of concepts and their relationships within a domain, enabling more sophisticated reasoning. The choice of knowledge representation scheme depends on the specific application and the nature of the knowledge being represented (Turban & Aronson, 2001).

Automated Reasoning

Automated reasoning techniques employed by expert systems enable them to mimic the deductive and inductive reasoning processes of human experts (Buchanan & Shortliffe, 1984). Common reasoning strategies include:

Forward Chaining, which starts with known facts and applies rules to derive new facts until a goal is reached (Giarratano & Riley, 2005).

Backward Chaining, which starts with a goal and searches for rules that can prove the goal based on available facts (Durkin, 1994).

Case-Based Reasoning, which retrieves similar past cases to solve a current problem (Kolodner, 1993).

Probabilistic Reasoning, which deals with uncertainty and incomplete information by assigning probabilities to facts and rules (Pearl, 1988).

The selection of reasoning strategies depends on the specific application and the type of reasoning required. Often, hybrid approaches combining multiple strategies are employed to achieve more robust and flexible reasoning capabilities (Luger, 2005).

The Concept of Expert Systems

Expert systems are sophisticated computer programs that utilize knowledge and reasoning procedures to solve problems requiring human expertise. These systems are designed to mimic the decision-making abilities of human experts by incorporating domain-specific knowledge and applying logical inference mechanisms (Jackson, 1998). According to Veljović (2018), the essential characteristics of experts, such as knowledge application and decision-making, are transferred to these systems through meticulously crafted knowledge bases and sophisticated inference mechanisms.

A key feature of expert systems is their ability to handle both explicit and implicit knowledge. Explicit knowledge, which includes facts and established information, is relatively straightforward to encode and retrieve (Durkin, 1994). On the other hand, implicit knowledge, or heuristic knowledge, is acquired through experience and practice. This type of knowledge is often more challenging to formalize but is crucial for nuanced decision-making and problem-solving (Giarratano & Riley, 2005). The integration of both explicit



and implicit knowledge provides expert systems with the flexibility to address a wide range of complex problems (Veljović, 2019).

Expert systems typically consist of several key components, including a knowledge base, an inference engine, and a user interface (Turban & Aronson, 2001). The knowledge base stores all relevant information and rules needed for problem-solving. This includes domain-specific facts, heuristics, and relationships between different pieces of information (Buchanan & Shortliffe, 1984). The inference engine is responsible for processing this knowledge, applying logical rules to draw conclusions and solve problems (Jackson, 1998). The user interface facilitates interaction between the system and its users, allowing them to input data, pose queries, and receive recommendations or explanations (Luger, 2005).

The design and development of expert systems are grounded in several theoretical disciplines, including cognitive science, knowledge representation, and automated reasoning. Cognitive science provides insights into how human experts acquire, represent, and use knowledge, guiding the development of inference mechanisms within expert systems (Newell & Simon, 1972). Knowledge representation schemes, such as production rules, semantic networks, and frames, offer formal frameworks for encoding knowledge in a computer-processable manner (Gruber, 1993). Automated reasoning techniques, including forward chaining, backward chaining, and probabilistic reasoning, enable expert systems to mimic the deductive and inductive reasoning processes of human experts (Pearl, 1988).

In summary, expert systems are powerful tools designed to emulate human expertise and decision-making in specific domains. By incorporating both explicit and implicit knowledge, these systems can provide expert-level advice and problem-solving capabilities, significantly enhancing decision-making processes across various fields (Veljović, 2018; Giarratano & Riley, 2005).

Architecture of Expert Systems

The architecture of expert systems (ES) encompasses several fundamental components that work in unison to emulate human expertise and support decision-making. These core components are essential for the system's functionality and effectiveness in solving complex problems.

Knowledge Base

The knowledge base is the repository of domain-specific knowledge, containing facts, heuristics, rules, and relationships relevant to the particular application area (Giarratano & Riley, 2022). For instance, in medical expert systems, the knowledge base includes symptoms, diseases, diagnostic procedures, and treatments. The quality and comprehensiveness of the knowledge base significantly impact the system's problem-solving capabilities. Efficient knowledge representation schemes, such as production rules, semantic networks, and frames, are employed to encode this information in a computer-processable format (Jackson, 1998).

Inference Engine

The inference engine is the core software module that utilizes the knowledge base to generate conclusions based on input data (Stankić, 2010). It applies logical algorithms and inference techniques, such as forward and backward chaining, to connect facts with rules and derive new information or



solutions. The inference engine's effectiveness is crucial for the system's overall performance, as it determines how well the system can mimic human reasoning and provide accurate recommendations (Durkin, 1994).

User Interface

The user interface facilitates communication between the system and its users, enabling them to input data, pose queries, and receive recommendations or explanations (Veljović, 2018). Advanced user interfaces may incorporate natural language processing, graphical visualizations, and interactive dialogue systems to enhance usability and accessibility. A well-designed user interface ensures that the system is easy to use and that users can effectively interact with it, which is vital for user satisfaction and system adoption (Turban & Aronson, 2001).

Working Memory

Working memory, also known as global database, temporarily stores information about the current problem being addressed, facilitating reasoning and problem-solving (Tanenbaum, 2011). This component acts as a dynamic storage area where intermediate results and temporary data are kept during the inference process. It enables the system to manage complex reasoning tasks by maintaining a context for the current problem and tracking the progress of the inference process (Luger, 2005).

Relationship Between Components

The core structure of expert systems is illustrated through the relationship between the knowledge base and the inference engine, supplemented by a user interface that facilitates interaction with end users. The knowledge base provides the essential information required for problem-solving, while the inference engine applies logical reasoning to this knowledge to generate conclusions. The user interface bridges the gap between the system and its users, ensuring smooth communication and interaction. Working memory supports the inference engine by storing temporary data and managing the context of the current problem.

In summary, the architecture of expert systems is designed to emulate human expertise through the integration of domain-specific knowledge, logical reasoning, user interaction, and dynamic data management. These components collectively enable expert systems to provide valuable decision support and problem-solving capabilities across various domains (Giarratano & Riley, 2022; Veljović, 2018).

Knowledge Acquisition and Representation

A key aspect of expert systems is their ability to represent domain-specific knowledge. This involves two critical processes: knowledge acquisition, the gathering of knowledge from human experts or other sources, and knowledge representation, the encoding of that knowledge in a computer-processable format (Durkin, 1994; Giarratano & Riley, 2022).

Knowledge Acquisition Techniques

Extracting knowledge from human experts can be challenging due to the often implicit and unstructured nature of expertise. Several techniques are employed to elicit and capture this knowledge (Jackson, 1998):



Interviews: Structured or unstructured interviews with domain experts are a primary method for gathering knowledge. These interviews can involve open-ended questions, specific scenarios, or critical incident analysis to uncover the expert's reasoning processes (Veljović, 2018).

Questionnaires: Standardized questionnaires can be used to collect information from multiple experts, providing a broader perspective on the domain (Feigenbaum & McCorduck, 1983).

Protocol Analysis: This technique involves observing experts as they solve problems and recording their verbalizations, actions, and thought processes. This provides insights into the expert's cognitive strategies and decision-making processes (Durkin, 1994).

Observation: Directly observing experts in their work environment can reveal valuable insights into their practices and problem-solving approaches (Jackson, 1998).

Document Analysis: Reviewing existing documentation, such as manuals, reports, and case studies, can provide a foundation of knowledge for the expert system (Giarratano & Riley, 2022).

Several challenges can arise during the knowledge acquisition process:

Tacit Knowledge: Much of expert knowledge is tacit, meaning it is difficult to articulate or formalize. Experts may not be consciously aware of all the factors influencing their decisions (Luger, 2005).

Cognitive Biases: Experts, like all humans, are subject to cognitive biases that can affect their judgment and reasoning. These biases need to be identified and addressed during knowledge acquisition (Durkin, 1994).

Knowledge Elicitation Bottleneck: The process of extracting knowledge from experts can be time-consuming and resource-intensive, creating a bottleneck in the development of expert systems (Feigenbaum & McCorduck, 1983).

Inconsistency and Incompleteness: Knowledge elicited from different experts or sources may be inconsistent or incomplete, requiring careful reconciliation and validation. The knowledge gathered from various experts or sources may be inconsistent or incomplete, necessitating careful reconciliation and validation (Veljović, 2019).

Knowledge Representation Techniques

Once the knowledge is acquired, it must be represented in a format that the computer can process. Several common knowledge representation techniques employed in expert systems include (Giarratano & Riley, 2022):

Production Rules: These are IF-THEN rules that depict the relationships between conditions and actions. For instance, "IF the patient has a fever AND a cough, THEN the patient may have the flu." Production rules are relatively straightforward to implement and understand, but they can become challenging to manage in complex systems (Jackson, 1998).

Semantic Networks: These represent knowledge as a graph of interconnected concepts and relationships. Semantic networks can capture more intricate relationships than production rules, but they may be less efficient for reasoning purposes (Durkin, 1994).

Frames: These are data structures that represent stereotypical situations or objects, with slots for specific attributes. Frames are useful for representing structured knowledge and can support inheritance, where properties of a parent frame are passed down to its children (Minsky, 1975).



Ontologies: These provide a formal representation of concepts and their relationships within a domain, enabling more sophisticated reasoning. Ontologies can support complex reasoning tasks and facilitate knowledge sharing and reuse (Gruber, 1993).

The choice of knowledge representation technique depends on the specific application and the nature of the knowledge being represented. Often, a hybrid approach combining multiple techniques is used to leverage the strengths of each (Turban & Aronson, 2001).

Advantages and Limitations of Expert Systems

Expert systems offer several key advantages over human experts in certain domains. They provide efficient, swift responses to specific problems (Giarratano & Riley, 2022) and can retain valuable expertise even when human experts are unavailable (Veljović, 2018). Additionally, expert systems can be particularly useful in adverse environments that are unsuitable for humans, such as nuclear reactors or space missions (Stankić, 2010).

A key limitation of expert systems is their narrow domain of application (Veljović, 2018). Most expert systems are tailored to solve a specific set of problems, lacking the flexibility to handle a broader range of issues (Tanenbaum, 2011). Additionally, expert systems rely solely on the embedded rules and logic, lacking the ability to apply common sense reasoning that human experts often employ (Tanenbaum, 2011). Furthermore, the development of expert systems requires substantial resources and expertise, which can potentially limit their broader applicability and adoption (Giarratano & Riley, 2022).

Challenges and Future Directions of Expert Systems

While expert systems have demonstrated significant value in various domains, they also face several challenges that limit their broader applicability and effectiveness. Addressing these challenges and exploring new research directions are essential for the continued advancement of expert systems.

Current Challenges

Integration with Emerging Technologies: Integrating expert systems with emerging technologies like big data, cloud computing, and blockchain presents both opportunities and challenges. Big data can provide vast amounts of data for training and improving expert systems, while cloud computing can offer scalable infrastructure for deployment. Blockchain can enhance the security and transparency of knowledge bases. However, effectively integrating these technologies requires addressing challenges such as data heterogeneity, security, and privacy concerns (Jackson, 1998).

Explainability and Transparency: The "black box" nature of some expert systems can make it difficult to understand how they arrive at their conclusions. This lack of transparency can hinder trust and acceptance, particularly in critical domains like healthcare and finance (Giarratano & Riley, 2005). Research on explainable AI is crucial for developing expert systems that can provide clear and understandable justifications for their decisions (Adadi & Berrada, 2018).

Ethical Considerations: As expert systems become more sophisticated and integrated into decision-making processes, ethical considerations have become increasingly important. Bias in training data can lead to discriminatory outcomes, while accountability for decisions made by expert systems



needs to be clearly defined. Addressing these ethical concerns requires careful attention to data quality, fairness, and transparency (Veljović, 2019).

Maintaining and Updating Knowledge Bases: Maintaining up-to-date knowledge bases with the latest advancements can pose a significant challenge. Automated techniques for knowledge acquisition and updating are necessary to reduce reliance on manual updates and ensure the knowledge base remains accurate and relevant (Giarratano & Riley, 2022).

Handling Uncertainty and Incompleteness: Real-world problems are often characterized by uncertainty and incomplete information. Expert systems must be equipped to handle these situations effectively, leveraging techniques like probabilistic reasoning and fuzzy logic. (Pearl, 1988).

User Acceptance and Trust: Building user trust and acceptance is crucial for the successful deployment of expert systems. This requires designing intuitive and user-friendly interfaces, providing clear and comprehensive explanations of the system's reasoning, and proactively addressing concerns about job displacement and automation. (Turban & Aronson, 2001).

Future Research Directions:

Integration of Common Sense: Addressing the lack of common-sense reasoning is a significant challenge facing current expert systems. Future research should focus on integrating common sense knowledge to make these systems more intuitive and capable of handling a broader range of scenarios. Incorporating this integration will enable expert systems to better understand context and make more informed decisions.

Automated Learning Mechanisms: Enhancing the automated learning capabilities of expert systems is another crucial area for future research. Techniques such as machine learning and deep learning can be leveraged to enable expert systems to continuously learn from new data and improve over time (LeCun, Bengio, & Hinton, 2015). This will reduce the reliance on manual updates and ensure that expert systems remain accurate and relevant.

Hybrid Architectures: Developing hybrid expert systems that combine different AI techniques, such as rule-based systems, statistical models, and neural networks, can lead to more robust and adaptable systems (Giarratano & Riley, 2022). By combining different AI techniques, such as rule-based systems, machine learning, and deep learning, hybrid expert systems can leverage the strengths of each approach to tackle complex problems more effectively. This synergistic integration allows these systems to better handle uncertainty and incomplete information, leading to more robust and adaptable solutions.

Explainable AI Developing expert systems that can provide clear and understandable justifications for their decisions is a crucial area of research on explainable AI (Adadi & Berrada, 2018). Ensuring transparency is essential for building trust and acceptance, particularly in critical domains like healthcare and finance. By incorporating techniques such as visualization, natural language explanations, and counterfactual reasoning, future expert systems can become more transparent and accountable, fostering greater trust and adoption.

Ethical Considerations: Addressing ethical considerations, including concerns about bias in training data and accountability for decisions, is crucial for the responsible development of expert systems (Binns, 2018). Future research should focus on ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability in expert systems to mitigate their potential negative impacts.



Integration with Emerging Technologies: Integrating expert systems with emerging technologies such as big data, cloud computing, and blockchain presents both opportunities and challenges (Zheng et al., 2017). Effectively integrating these emerging technologies can enhance the capabilities of expert systems, but it also requires addressing challenges related to data heterogeneity, security, and privacy.

Integrating a combination of AI techniques, including rule-based systems, machine learning, and deep learning, can create more robust and adaptable expert systems. (Giarratano & Riley, 2022). Integrating a combination of complementary AI methodologies can create more robust and adaptable expert systems with enhanced capabilities and flexibility (Durkin, 1994). By combining diverse AI approaches, including rule-based reasoning, statistical modeling, and neural network-based learning, these hybrid systems can leverage the strengths of each technique to tackle complex problems more effectively (Zadeh, 1996). By combining diverse AI approaches, including rule-based reasoning, statistical modeling, and neural network-based learning, these hybrid expert systems can leverage the strengths of each technique to tackle complex problems more effectively. This synergistic integration can result in systems that are more adaptable, capable of handling a wider range of scenarios, and better equipped to deal with uncertainty and incomplete information. Exploring the potential of such hybrid architectures is a promising direction for future research in the field of expert systems (Luger, 2005).

Conclusion

Expert systems have proven to be invaluable tools for capturing and applying human expertise to complex problems. This document has explored the key components of expert systems, including knowledge acquisition, representation, and reasoning, as well as the challenges and limitations they face. From the diverse techniques for acquiring expert knowledge to the various schemes for representing it, the development of expert systems requires careful consideration of the specific domain and the nature of the problem being addressed. While challenges such as handling uncertainty, ensuring transparency, and maintaining knowledge bases persist, ongoing research and development continue to push the boundaries of expert systems. The integration of emerging technologies like machine learning, big data, and cloud computing holds immense potential for creating more robust, adaptable, and ethically sound expert systems. As these technologies mature and research progresses, expert systems are poised to play an increasingly crucial role in diverse fields, augmenting human capabilities and driving innovation across industries.

Expert systems have become increasingly important in a wide range of applications, from medical diagnosis to financial decision-making. These systems leverage human expertise, captured through knowledge acquisition, and apply advanced reasoning techniques to tackle complex problems that would be difficult or impossible for individual experts to handle. The development of expert systems involves a careful balance between acquiring and representing knowledge, as well as designing effective reasoning mechanisms.

One of the key challenges facing expert systems is the need to handle uncertainty and incomplete information inherent in real-world scenarios. Techniques such as probabilistic reasoning and fuzzy logic have been employed to address these challenges, allowing expert systems to make informed decisions even in the face of ambiguity or missing data.



Another critical aspect of expert systems is the need for transparency and explainability. As these systems become more widely adopted, there is a growing emphasis on ensuring that their decision-making processes are clear and understandable to both experts and end-users. Ongoing research in the field of explainable AI is focused on developing techniques that can provide clear justifications for the recommendations and decisions made by expert systems, fostering greater trust and acceptance.

The integration of emerging technologies, such as machine learning, big data, and cloud computing, holds immense potential for enhancing the capabilities of expert systems. By leveraging these technologies, expert systems can become more adaptive, capable of continuous learning, and able to handle vast amounts of data. This integration can lead to the development of hybrid expert systems that combine the strengths of various AI approaches, resulting in more robust and flexible solutions.

Conflict of interests

The author declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018). Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on explainable artificial intelligence (XAI). *IEEE Access*, 6, 52138-52160. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2870052>
- Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 149-159. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1712.03586>
- Buchanan, B. G., & Shortliffe, E. H. (1984). *Rule-Based Expert Systems: The MYCIN Experiment*. Addison-Wesley.
- Durkin, J. (1994). *Expert Systems: Design and Development*. Macmillan.
- Feigenbaum, E. A., & McCorduck, P. (1983). *The Fifth Generation: Artificial Intelligence and Japan's Computer Challenge to the World*. Addison-Wesley.
- Giarratano, J. C., & Riley, G. D. (2022). *Expert Systems: Principles and Programming (2nd ed.)*. Prentice Hall.
- Gruber, T. R. (1993). A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. *Knowledge Acquisition*, 5(2), 199-220. DOI: 10.1006/knac.1993.1008
- Hasan, P., & Pawan, E. (2021). Expert System for Early Diagnosis of Tropical Malaria and Tertian Malaria using Certainty Factor. In *International Journal of Computer and Information System (IJCIS)* (Vol. 2, Issue 4, p. 155). <https://doi.org/10.29040/ijcis.v2i4.49>
- Jackson, P. (1998). *Introduction to Expert Systems (3rd ed.)*. Addison-Wesley.
- Kolodner, J. (1993). *Case-Based Reasoning*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436-444. DOI: 10.1038/nature14539
- Lenat, D. B. (1995). CYC: A large-scale investment in knowledge infrastructure. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(11), 33-38. DOI: 10.1145/219717.219745
- Luger, G. F. (2005). *Artificial Intelligence: Structures and Strategies for Complex Problem Solving (5th ed.)*. Pearson.



- Minsky, M. (1975). A framework for representing knowledge. In P. H. Winston (Ed.), *The Psychology of Computer Vision* (pp. 211-277). McGraw-Hill.
- Newell, A., & Simon, H. A. (1972). *Human Problem Solving*. Prentice Hall.
- Pearl, J. (1988). *Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems: Networks of Plausible Inference*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Stankić, R. (2010). *Electronic Business*. Faculty of Economics, Belgrade.
- Tanenbaum, A. S. (2011). *Structured Computer Organization* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Turban, E., & Aronson, J. E. (2001). *Decision Support Systems and Intelligent Systems* (6th ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Veljović, A. (2018). *Management Information Systems*. Technical Faculty in Čačak.
- Veljović, A. (2019). *Expert Systems*. CET Čitalište 72.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1996). Fuzzy sets, fuzzy logic, and fuzzy systems: Selected papers. *Advances in Fuzzy Systems—Applications and Theory*, 6, 1-762.
- Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2017). An overview of blockchain technology: Architecture, consensus, and future trends. *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE International Congress on Big Data (BigData Congress)*, 557-564. 10.1109/BigDataCongress.2017.85